

Attorney Docket

No. 1207.0008

RICHARD A. SCHATZ

Serial No.: 07/657,296

In re Application of:

Filed: February 19, 1991

For: EXPANDABLE INTRALUMINAL

GRAFT

Group Art Unit: 338

Examiner: Paul Prebilic

first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commis

AMENDMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of June 13, 1991, Applicant files the following Amendment. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

IN THE CLAIMS:

In Claim 1, line 13, delete "a single", and insert -only one --.

THE REJECTIONS:

Claims 1-6 were rejected under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §112 on the grounds that the claimed invention was "not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention." The Examiner stated that "the newly added language of 'only one' connector member is confused by the Applicant's accompanying remarks [see page 3, first paragraph of the amendment filed on December 20, 1990, but entered on February 19, 1991]".

228